



**Cultural Resource Management Report  
Phase I Cultural Resource Reconnaissance Survey  
For the Proposed Citygate Development at the Iola Campus**

RMSC/RHPP PIN 2008.07

City of Rochester and Town of Brighton  
Monroe County  
New York  
MCDs: 05540 and 05501

Prepared By:

Andrew K. Graupman

With Contributions By  
Mark W. Ewing



Rochester Museum & Science Center  
Regional Heritage Preservation Program  
657 East Avenue  
Rochester, New York 14607

Submitted To:

Yelann L. Yu  
Site Development Consultant  
Bergmann Associates, PC  
200 First Federal Plaza  
28 East Main Street  
Rochester, NY 14614

24 July 2008

Sponsor: Local SEQ

## MANAGEMENT SUMMARY

- A. **SHPO Project Review Number:** N/A
- B. **Involved State and Federal Agencies:** Local SEQR
- C. **Phase of Survey:** Phase I Archaeological Resource Reconnaissance Survey
- D. **Location Information**
  - Location:** City of Rochester and Town of Brighton
  - Minor Civil Division:** MCDs 05540 and 05501
  - County:** Monroe County, New York
- E. **Survey Area**
  - Maximum Length:** 616 meters (2,020 feet) north-south
  - Maximum Width:** 524 meters (1,720 feet) east-west
  - APE Acres:** Approximately 24.3 hectares (60.0 acres)
  - Number of Square Meter & Feet Excavated (Phase II, Phase III only):** N/A
  - Percentage of the Site Excavated (Phase II, Phase III only):** N/A
- F. **USGS 7.5 Minute Quadrangle Map:** Pittsford, New York 1994
- G. **Archaeological Survey Overview**
  - Number & Interval of Shovel Tests:** 477 STPs at 15 m (50 ft)
  - Number & Size of Units:** N/A
  - Width of Plowed Strips:** N/A
  - Surface Survey Transect Interval:** N/A
- H. **Results of Archaeological Survey**
  - Number of & name of prehistoric sites identified:** 0
  - Number of & name of historic sites identified:** 0
  - Number of & name of sites recommended for Phase II/Avoidance:** 0
- I. **Results of Architectural Survey**
  - Number of buildings/structures/cemeteries within project area:** N/A
  - Number of buildings/structures/cemeteries adjacent to project area:** N/A
  - Number of known NR listed/eligible buildings/structures/cemeteries/districts:** N/A
  - Number of identified eligible buildings/structures/cemeteries/districts:** N/A
- J. **Report Author(s):** Andrew K. Graupman and Mark W. Ewing, Regional Heritage Preservation Program, Rochester Museum & Science Center, Rochester, New York.

**Date of Report:** 24 July 2008

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Management Summary .....                              | i  |
| I. Project Description .....                          | 1  |
| II. General Project Area .....                        | 1  |
| III. Background Research .....                        | 4  |
| 3.1 Project area soils.....                           | 4  |
| 3.2 Sites within a one-mile radius .....              | 7  |
| 3.3 Settlement patterns .....                         | 10 |
| IV. Sensitivity Assessment .....                      | 31 |
| 4.1 Prehistoric site sensitivity.....                 | 31 |
| 4.2 Historic site sensitivity .....                   | 31 |
| V. Type and Extent of Disturbance .....               | 30 |
| VI. Testing Recommendations .....                     | 32 |
| VII. Phase IB Archaeological Survey Methodology ..... | 33 |
| 7.1 Project walkover.....                             | 33 |
| 7.2 Testing procedures .....                          | 33 |
| 7.2.1 Surface .....                                   | 33 |
| 7.2.2 Subsurface testing .....                        | 33 |
| 7.2.3 Size, placement, intervals, and depths.....     | 33 |
| 7.3 Laboratory methodology .....                      | 33 |
| VIII. Archaeological Survey Results .....             | 34 |
| 8.1 Overview .....                                    | 34 |
| 8.2 Negative survey results .....                     | 34 |
| 8.3 Positive survey results .....                     | 34 |
| IX. Phase I Conclusions and Recommendations .....     | 38 |
| X. References .....                                   | 39 |
| Appendix A. Shovel Test Record .....                  | 40 |
| Appendix A. Project Area Photographs.....             | 62 |

## LIST OF FIGURES

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Figure 1: General project location in Monroe County, New York State .....                            | 2  |
| Figure 2: Project location on the USGS 7.5' Pittsford NY Quadrangle 1994 .....                       | 3  |
| Figure 3: Project location on the <i>Soil Survey of Monroe County, New York</i> (USDA WSS 2005)..... | 5  |
| Figure 4: Project location on the 1952 P.J. Browne <i>Atlas of Monroe County, New York</i> .....     | 13 |
| Figure 5: Project location on Sheet 67 of the 1872 Beers' Atlas of Monroe County, New York.....      | 14 |
| Figure 6: Project location on the 1918 Hopkins <i>Atlas of Monroe County, New York</i> .....         | 15 |
| Figure 7: Project location on the USGS 15' Rochester, NY Quadrangle 1920 (Reprinted 1931).....       | 16 |
| Figure 8: Project location on the 1930 Aerial Photograph.....  | 17 |
| Figure 9: Project location on the USGS 7.5' Mendon Ponds NY Quadrangle 1931 .....                    | 18 |
| Figure 10: Project location on the USGS 7.5' Mendon Ponds NY Quadrangle 1935 (Reprinted 1947).....   | 19 |
| Figure 11: Project location on Sheet 806 of the 1938 Sanborn Fire Insurance Map .....                | 20 |
| Figure 12: Project location on the 1951 Aerial Photograph.....                                       | 21 |
| Figure 13: Project location on the USGS 7.5' Mendon Ponds NY Quadrangle 1952 .....                   | 22 |
| Figure 14: Project location on the 1961 Aerial Photograph.....                                       | 23 |
| Figure 15: Project location on the 1970 Aerial Photograph.....                                       | 24 |
| Figure 16: Project location on the USGS 7.5' Pittsford NY Quadrangle 1971 .....                      | 25 |
| Figure 17: Project location on the USGS 7.5' Pittsford NY Quadrangle 1971 (Photorevised 1978).....   | 26 |
| Figure 18: Project location on the 1980 Aerial Photograph.....                                       | 27 |
| Figure 19: Project location on the 1988 Aerial Photograph.....                                       | 28 |
| Figure 20: Project location on the 1993 Aerial Photograph.....                                       | 29 |
| Figure 21: Project location on the 1996 Aerial Photograph.....                                       | 30 |
| Figure 22: Phase I Project Map .....   | 37 |

## LIST OF TABLES

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Table 1: Soil Types Represented within the Project Area .....                             | 6  |
| Table 2: Archaeological Sites within a One Mile Radius of the Project Area.....           | 7  |
| Table 3: Summary of Known Archaeological Sites by Cultural Affiliation and Site Type..... | 9  |
| Table 4: Structures located within the Project Area .....                                 | 12 |
| Table 5: Artifacts Recovered from Phase I Cultural Resource Reconnaissance Survey .....   | 36 |

## LIST OF PHOTOGRAPHS

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Photograph 1: View of general project area from northeast corner of APE, facing southwest .....                         | 64 |
| Photograph 2: View of general project area and Westfall Road from northeast corner of APE, facing west.....             | 64 |
| Photograph 3: View of general project area and Westfall Road from northwest corner of APE, facing east.....             | 65 |
| Photograph 4: View of general project area from northwest corner of APE, facing southeast .....                         | 65 |
| Photograph 5: View of general project area and E. Henrietta Road from northwest corner of APE, facing south .....       | 66 |
| Photograph 6: View of general project area and E. Henrietta Road from southwest corner of APE, facing north .....       | 66 |
| Photograph 7: View of general project area from southwest corner of APE, facing southeast .....                         | 67 |
| Photograph 8: View of general project area along southern project boundary, facing southeast .....                      | 67 |
| Photograph 9: View of general project area from southeast corner of APE, facing northwest .....                         | 68 |
| Photograph 10: View of general project area from southeast corner of APE, facing north.....                             | 68 |
| Photograph 11: View of general project area from southeast corner of APE, facing northeast.....                         | 69 |
| Photograph 12: View of general project area along eastern project boundary, facing west .....                           | 69 |
| Photograph 13: View of general location of MDS C at existing parking lot, facing south.....                             | 70 |
| Photograph 14: View of general location of MDS D, facing north .....  | 70 |
| Photograph 15: View of general location of MDS D, facing southwest.....   | 71 |
| Photograph 16: View of general location of MDS D, facing south.....   | 71 |
| Photograph 17: View of general location of MDS E with Structure 20 in background, facing north .....                    | 72 |
| Photograph 18: View of general location of MDS F, facing southwest .....  | 72 |
| Photograph 19: View of Structure 5 (i.e., the power house), presently a Siemens office building, facing northeast ..... | 73 |
| Photograph 20: View of Structure 6 (i.e., Pavilion A), presently abandoned, facing north.....                           | 73 |

**LIST OF PHOTOGRAPHS (continued)**

Photograph 21: View of Structure 6 (i.e., Pavilion A), presently abandoned, facing south ..... 74  
Photograph 22: View of Structure 7 (i.e., Pavilion B), presently abandoned, facing northwest..... 74  
Photograph 23: View of Structure 8 (i.e., Pavilion C), presently abandoned, facing north..... 75  
Photograph 24: View of Structure 9 (i.e., a service building), presently abandoned, facing northeast ..... 75  
Photograph 25: View of Structure 10 (i.e., the nurse’s home), presently abandoned, facing south ..... 76  
Photograph 26: View of Structure 10 (i.e., the nurse’s home), presently abandoned, facing northeast ..... 76  
Photograph 27: View of Structure 11 (i.e., the children’s building), presently abandoned, facing southwest ..... 77  
Photograph 28: View of Structure 11 (i.e., the children’s building), presently abandoned, facing west ..... 77  
Photograph 29: View of Structure 11 (i.e., the children’s building), presently abandoned, facing northwest..... 78  
Photograph 30: View of Structure 11 (i.e., the children’s building), presently abandoned, facing southeast ..... 78  
Photograph 31: View of Structure 11 (i.e., the children’s building), presently abandoned, facing southeast ..... 79  
Photograph 32: View of Structure 12, presently abandoned, facing northeast ..... 79  
Photograph 33: View of Structure 13 (i.e., a staff building), presently abandoned, facing east..... 80  
Photograph 34: View of Structure 13 (i.e., a staff building), presently abandoned, facing northwest ..... 80  
Photograph 35: View of Structure 14, presently a Siemens facility, facing northwest..... 81  
Photograph 36: View of Structure 15, presently a children’s detention center, facing south ..... 81  
Photograph 37: View of Structure 16, presently a Monroe County recycling center, facing northeast..... 82  
Photograph 38: View of Structure 16, presently a Monroe County recycling center, facing north..... 82  
Photograph 39: View of Structure 17, facing northeast..... 83  
Photograph 40: View of Structure 18, presently abandoned, facing northeast ..... 83  
Photograph 41: View of Structure 20, presently a storage shelter, facing northeast ..... 84  
Photograph 42: View of underground pipelines supplying and powering the lola campus, facing west ..... 84  
Photograph 43: View of typical untested excessive slope, facing southwest ..... 85  
Photograph 44: View of untested fill mound southwest of Structure 11, facing east ..... 85  
Photograph 45: View of untested parking lot south of Westfall Road, facing southeast..... 86  
Photograph 46: View of untested area of gravel disturbance, facing south ..... 86  
Photograph 47: View of untested area of gravel disturbance, facing north ..... 87  
Photograph 48: Close-up view of typical untested area of gravel ..... 87  
Photograph 49: View of untested area of denied access associated with Structure 15, facing north..... 88

## I. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This report presents the results of a cultural resource reconnaissance survey as part of the preliminary planning for the proposed Citygate development at the Iola campus in the city of Rochester and town of Brighton, New York. The proposed project is located at the Iola tuberculosis sanatorium on the southeast corner of the intersection of East Henrietta Road (State Route 15) and Westfall Road. The project entails the creation of a mixed use development where residents will be able to live, work, and play. Included will be hotels, apartments, lofts and associated utilities (i.e., sewer, electrical, etc.) and roadways. Green space and a man-made lake are also part of the proposed project development plan. An existing Siemens office building will remain on-site. Currently the majority of the property is vegetated by a mixture of mature deciduous trees along the eastern project boundary and manicured mown grass amongst the abandoned structures. The Phase I Cultural Resource Investigations, requested by Ms. Yelann Yu, Bergmann Associates, PC, Rochester, NY, are in compliance with existing state and federal regulations regarding the location, evaluation, and preservation of cultural resources that may suffer adverse impacts from government assisted or permitted construction projects. For the purpose of this report, the project area and Area of Potential Effect (APE) are the same and encompass approximately 24.3 hectare (60.0 acre). All work will occur within the city of Rochester and town of Brighton, Monroe County, New York. The maximum survey length is 616 meters (2,020 feet) and the total width of surveyed area is approximately 524 meters (1,720 feet).

The fieldwork summarized in this document was performed under the direct supervision of Mark W. Ewing, Manager, Rochester Museum & Science Center (RMSC), Regional Heritage Preservation Program (RIIPP), who also served as editor. Andrew K. Graupman served as the project director and the principal author of this report. The field crew was supervised by Andrew K. Graupman and consisted of Andrew K. Graupman, John Gordinier, Adam Glegg, Jason Szymanski, and Justin Ashbaugh from the RHPP. Andrew K. Graupman constructed the project databases and project maps.

In compliance with the New York State Education Department's Revised Work Scope Descriptions (March 2005) and National Park Service's Criteria and Procedures for the Identification of Historic Properties (1990), the area within the project area is considered within the Area of Impact for the purpose of conducting the survey. *The results of the research performed for this report do not apply to any territory outside the project area.* Additionally, access was denied by representatives of Monroe County to test the existing children's detention center located within the APE. Areas not available for testing included a fenced yard and the lawn to the east and north of the detention facility. *The results of the research performed for this report do not apply to any territory housed within or surrounding the children's detention center.*

## II. GENERAL PROJECT AREA

Figure 1 places the project location within Monroe County and New York State. Figure 2 shows the project area on the 1994 USGS 7.5' Pittsford, N. Y. Quadrangle topographic map. Photographs 1 through 49 demonstrate present land uses and current conditions within and around the project area.




Figure 1: General project location in Monroe County, New York State







Figure 2: Project location on the USGS 7.5' Pittsford, NY Quadrangle 1994