

Rochester Preservation Board Decision Grid
October 5, 2022

CASE	ADDRESS	RECORD OF VOTE	DECISION
A-012-22-23: To renovate the Park at Granite Mills Commons.	82 Brown's Race.	5-0-0	Approved
A-018-22-23: To create a landscape at front and back lawn, removal of two (2) dead small-medium height juniper trees for pathway creation.	64 Barrington Street.	5-0-0	Approved
A-019-22-23: To install a stair case and two steel bollards at the north side for additional egress to an existing building.	53 Canal Street.	5-0-0	Approved
A-020-22-23: To construct a garden area with ten (10) raised planting beds, a 20' x 20' shelter classroom and a 7' x 10' x 8' pre-manufactured resin storage.	657 East Avenue	5-0-0	Case Approved in Part and Held in Part by the Board
A-021-22-23: To repair an existing damaged porch columns, second floor framing and re-roofing of the west side of building entrance	10 Atkinson Street	4-0-0	Case Approved on Condition
A-022-22-23: To install a new 85' long and 6' high cedar wood lattice-top fence between 6 and 8 Arnold Park	8 Arnold Park	5-0-0	Approved
A-015-22-23: To construct an outdoor patio and pavilion at the Witmer House.	630 Mt Hope Avenue	4-0-0	Case Approved in Part and Held in Part by the Board

Attendance:

Board Members Present: C. Carretta, K. Solberg, H. Diodato and F. Uloth and V. Sanchez

Case 5/A-021-22-23, Board Members Present: F. Uloth, C. Carretta, H. Diodato and K. Solberg.

Case 7/ A-015-22-23, Board Members Present: K. Solberg, C. Carretta, H. Diodato and F. Uloth.

¹ **A-012-22-23:** The Board approved the applicant's request to install three (3) wall mounted lamps on the buildings to the south, east and west of the Park at 82 Brown's Race as shown on page 11 of the Staff Report and two (2) light poles at the center and north of the Park as shown on pages 10, 21 and 22, and a sample on page 14 of the Staff Report. The Board found that the installation of additional illumination at the Park as proposed by the applicant is an improved upgrade from one (1) lamp post earlier proposed by the applicant in their previous appearance at the Preservation Board hearing on September 7, 2022. The Board concluded that the new lighting design is appropriate and will provide adequate illumination to the Park and will not have adverse effect on the historic character of the District.

² **A-018-22-23:** The Board approved the applicant's request to create a landscape at front and back lawn, removal of two (2) dead small-medium height juniper trees for pathway creation at 64 Barrington Street as shown on pages 10, 11, 14 and 15 of the Staff Report. The Board found that the propose plan is of high quality, environmental friendly and conform to the Board's design guidelines. The landscape architecture will create no substantial visual change to the building and will not detract from the historic character of the neighborhood.

³ **A-019-22-23:** The applicant's request to install a staircase and two steel bollards at the north side for additional egress to an existing building at 53 Canal Street was approved. The Board noted on page 13 of the staff report there was no adequate description of the proposed guardrail materials. The Board recommend a 4-inch vertical tubular steel guard rail in compliance with New York State Building Code. The Board also stated that the applicant's plan complies with preservation guidelines and confirm that the proposed staircase installation will not create any substantial visual change to the building and will not detract from the historic character of the neighborhood.

⁴ **A-020-22-23:** The Board approved in part and held in part the applicant's request to construct a garden area with ten (10) raised planting beds, a 20' x 20' shelter classroom and a 7' x 10' x 8' pre-manufactured resin storage shed at 657 East Avenue. The Board found that the construction of a garden area with ten (10) raised planting/garden beds and a 20' x 20' shelter classroom is appropriate for Planned Development District #4 and in conformity with the historic character of the Preservation District and matches the nearby surroundings. The Board rejected the applicant's request to construct a 7' x 10' x 8' pre-manufactured resin storage shed because the materials selected (resin) are not in conformity with the Preservation District's guidelines. The Board requested the applicant to consider alternate design(s) and materials that will maintain or enhance the current historic character of the District. The Board required the applicant to present such design to the Board when it is available.

⁵ **A-021-22-23:** The applicant's request to repair existing damaged porch columns, second floor framing and re-roofing of the west side of the building entrance at 10 Atkinson Street as shown on pages 19 and 20 of the Staff Report was approved by the Board on condition that the guard rails shall be wood and match the original. The Board also confirmed that redesigned porch columns and guard rails emulate the surrounding buildings and will create no substantial visual change to the building. The Board confirm that the repair will not detract from the historic character of the neighborhood.

⁶ **A-022-22-23:** The Board approved the applicant's application to install a new 85' long and 6' high cedar wood lattice-top fence between 6 and 8 Arnold Park. The Board determined that the 85' long and 6' high cedar-wood attic-top fence proposed by the applicant is appropriate to the historic character of the neighborhood and meets the Preservation Board guidelines. Additionally, the Board determined the design is consistent with walls/fences in the surrounding area.

⁷ **A-017-22-23:** The Board approved in part and held in part the applicant's request to construct an outdoor patio and pavilion at the Witmer house, 630 Mt Hope Avenue. The Board approved the applicant's request to construct a 740 sq-ft "Dining Patio" as labeled on page 18 of the Staff Report matching existing patio in materials and pattern, pavers and ADA walkway with brushed concrete. The Board found that the construction is in conformity with the historic character of the Preservation District and matches the nearby surroundings. The Board rejected the applicant's request to construct a 460 sq-ft pavilion because it is not in conformity with the Preservation District's guidelines. The Board requested the applicant to consider alternate design(s) and materials that will maintain or enhance the current historic character of the District. The Board required the applicant to present such design to the Board when it is available. The Board also requested such design should put into consideration Board's comments, public comments and feedbacks received on the proposed project.